


Yes, The Bible CLEARLY Says Sex Outside of Marriage is Wrong

### Description



It's strange that this article is necessary. The plain text of

scripture is pretty clear on this point and how people miss this is beyond me. (*Unless theyâ*€<sup>™</sup>re missing it intentionally.) So, letâ€<sup>™</sup>s go to scripture and put this issue to bed once and for all‹ until the next wolf in sheepâ€<sup>™</sup>s clothing arrives teaching that itâ€<sup>™</sup>s acceptable.

But we'II go farther.

We'II see that married Christians have the best sex, and that sex outside of marriage leads to horrible outcomes for <u>all</u> involved. And this can be proved by science, completely apart from the Bible. Though, it shouldn't be a surprise that following God's plan leads to better outcomes.

# **Old Testament Passages**

We'II look at the Old Testament first.

### The Penalty for Seducing a Virgin

## **Exodus 22:16-17** (*ESV*)

**16** "lf a man seduces a virgin who is not betrothed and lies with her, he shall give the bride-price for her and make her his wife.

**17** If her father utterly refuses to give her to him, <u>he shall pay money equal to the bride-price for virgins</u>.

We'II start with the weakest place in the Old Testament first. And this is pretty strong for a "weak†argument. Notice, there are two penalties proscribed for having sex outside of marriage.

- 1. He must marry the woman (unless her father refuses)
- 2. He must pay the bride price (regardless of if he marries her)

Paying the bride-price regardless of whether you marry her is a clear <u>penalty</u> for the action. Itâ€<sup>™</sup>s not a reward (*because heâ€<sup>™</sup>s paying*) and itâ€<sup>™</sup>s not neutral because again, he must pay. That makes it a penalty.

To be clear:

## You don't proscribe penalties for righteous actions.

You just don't.

You donâ€<sup>™</sup>t tell your child theyâ€<sup>™</sup>ll get in trouble for being honest. Cops donâ€<sup>™</sup>t write tickets for <u>obeying</u> traffic laws, only breaking them. Likewise, you donâ€<sup>™</sup>t prescribe two penalties (*must marry, must pay*) when theyâ€<sup>™</sup>ve done a righteous things.

Now, some might say that's only because of the culture. We'll get to the argument later.

## Pre-marital sex is Misconduct, Outrageous, and Evil (Yes, evil)

If there's a clearer Old Testament passage than Deuteronomy 22:13-21, l'm unaware of it.

## Deuteronomy 22:13-14

13 "lf any man takes a wife and goes in to her and then hates her

**14** and accuses her of <u>misconduct</u> and brings a bad name upon her, saying, †I took this woman, and when I came near her, I did not find in her evidence of **virginity**,'

Notice, a woman who <u>isn't</u>a virgin before marriage is guilty of "misconductâ€. The Hebrew there is "x¢Ö²xœÖ´x™xœÖ¸x"†(<u>alilah</u>), and can be translated "wantonnessâ€, "shamefulâ€, and yes, even <u>evil</u>. Definition 2c in the Brown-Driver-Briggs lexicon reads:

"usually *practices* = evil deeds"

Just in case you missed that, sex before marriage is called an "evil deedâ€.

Let's read on.

## Deuteronomy 22:15-21

- **15** then the father of the young woman and her mother shall take and bring out the evidence of her virginity to the elders of the city in the gate.
- **16** And the father of the young woman shall say to the elders, †I gave my daughter to this man to marry, and he hates her;
- **17** and behold, he has accused her of <u>misconduct</u>, saying, "l did not find in your daughter evidence of <u>virginity</u>.†And yet this is the evidence of my daughter's virginity.' And they shall spread the cloak before the elders of the city.

(Note: the word †œmisconduct― above is the same word in verse 14, and equals †œevil deed―)

- **18** Then the elders of that city shall take the man and whip him,
- **19** and they shall fine him a hundred shekels of silver and give them to the father of the young woman, because he has brought a bad name upon a virgin of Israel. And she shall be his wife. He may not divorce her all his days.
- **20** But if the thing is true, that evidence of virginity was not found in the young woman,
- 21 then they shall bring out the young woman to the door of her father's house, and the men of her city shall stone her to death with stones, because <u>she has done an outrageous</u> thing in Israel <u>by whoring in her father's house</u>. So you shall purge the evil from your midst.

Please notice, verse 21 isn't recording what the man says. It's direct commentary from the lawgiver â€" God â€" about the morality of the action. It seems clear the action was immoral from the plain text.

If the translation wasn't clear enough, let's make it ever<u>more</u> clear by looking at the Hebrew words used.

#### First "outrageous thingâ€

The Hebrew word here is "x Ö°x'Ö¸xœÖ¸x"†(nebalah). The Brown-Driver-Briggs lexicon's defines it as:

senselessness (as shewn in disregard of moral and religious claims), especially of disgraceful sins; also disgrace; â€" always absolute

1 disgraceful folly, especially of sins of un-chastity

Strong's Exhaustive concordance defines it thusly:

Feminine of nabal; foolishness, i.e. (morally) <u>wickedness</u>; concretely, <u>a crime</u>; by extension, punishment â€" folly, vile, villany.

Clearly, a wicked sin is being discussed. Plus, the word contains the added sense of not only doing something wicked, but also something that is folly and senseless. It's not just wicked; it's wicked <u>and</u> stupid. And the "wicked and stupid†sin being discussed is sex before marriage.

#### Second "By whoringâ€

The word translated "whoring†in that verse is "x–Ö¸x Ö¸x"†(<u>zanah</u>). The Brown-Driver-Briggs lexicon and Strong's Concordance both define it identically, and that definition is:

commit fornication, be a harlot

What is "fornication� Let's look at a few different definitions:

- "consensual sexual intercourse between two persons not married to each otherâ€
   (Merriam Webster)
- "the act of having sex with somebody that you are not married to†(Oxford Dictionary)
- "the action of having sex with someone who you are not married to†(Cambridge Dictionary)
- "voluntary sexual intercourse between two unmarried persons or two persons not married to each other.― (Dictionary.com)

### Basically, fornication = sex outside of marriage.

Notice, the Hebrew word makes no distinction whatsoever between fornicating and being a whore. Linguistically, *fornicating and whoring are the same thing*.

This actually makes perfect sense if you think about it.

If it's morally permissible to have sex outside of marriage, then why not charge for that "service� We charge to do virtually all other moral services, why not that one? (*if it was morally permissible*.) If fornicating is morally acceptable, then why is charging for it different than say, getting paid to mow someone's lawn? Cut their hair? Walk their dog?

## If fornicating was morally permissible, what's the difference if you get paid for it?

If you allow for fornicating (sex outside of marriage), then you must also allow for prostitution. Very few people would make that argument, but it follows logically.

#### Third: "the Evilâ€

Shocker, this Hebrew word means exactly that: evil.

It's the word "x¨Ö·x¢â€ (<u>rah</u>). The Garden, Adam and Eve ate from the "Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Rah (*Evil*)â€. It means evil. The woman committed an evil act by having sex before marriage.

## To Recap

Fornication (*sex outside of marriage*) is called an "evil deed†in verses 14 and 17. Verse 21 turns up the heat by calling it a "shameful, stupid, wicked, sin/crimeâ€, it's equated with prostitution, and called "evil†yet again at the end of the verse.

Does <u>any</u> part of that â€" any part at all â€" sound like God is okay with fornication?(sex outside of marriage.)

Oh, but there's even more in the New Testament.

### **Verses in the New Testament**

As clear as the Old Testament is, the New Testament is even clearer (*if thatâ*€ <sup>™</sup>s possible).

### Jesus Offers A Choice: Marriage or Celibacy

In Matthew chapter 19, some Pharisees come to Jesus testing him by asking about marriage. Jesus finishes the section with a pronouncement (and I have a whole article on the section here). This apparently shocks the disciples because this is how they respond:

#### Matthew 19:10-12

**10** The disciples said to him, "lf such is the case of a man with his wife, it is better not to marry.―

- **11** But he said to them, "Not everyone can receive this saying, but only those to whom it is given.
- **12** For there are eunuchs who have been so from birth, and there are eunuchs who have been made eunuchs by men, and there are <u>eunuchs</u> who have made themselves eunuchs for the sake of the kingdom of heaven. Let the one who is able to receive this receive it.â€

For those who aren't familiar with eunuchs, we'll go to the Definition of the Greek word for clarity. It's the Greek word "εá½Î¿á¿¦Ï‡Î¿Ä,†(eunouchos) and it means:

**2135** *eunoÃ*°*xos* – properly, "lone in bed†(i.e. without a marriage partner) – literally, a *castrated* (emasculated) man; a *eunuch*; †â€~a chamberlain, keeper of the bed-chamber' of an Eastern potentate, â€~a eunuch' â€(Souter); (figuratively) someone who *abstains from marriage* (sexual relations) to be *solely devoted to God* 

The disciples are so shocked by Jesus words the verse before, that they say it's better not to get married. Jesus replies they don't <u>have</u> to get married. Instead, they can be a "eunuch for the kingdom†by voluntarily abstaining from sex.

Notice the options:

- 1. Get married (and have sex)
- 2. Be "alone in bedâ€

Those are the only two options that Jesus gives. He doesn't allow for a third option of " don't get married and have have sex anyway". That's not on the list. The options are married or "alone in bedâ€.

No third option is presented.

## Fornicators won't inherit the Kingdom

I recommend you read all of 1 Corinthians chapters 6 and 7 for the full context. However, we'II talk about the relevant sections here.

#### 1 Corinthians 6:9-11

- **9** Or do you not know that the <u>unrighteous</u> will not inherit the kingdom of God? <u>Do not be deceived</u>; neither <u>fornicators</u>, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor homosexuals.
- **10** nor thieves, nor *the* covetous, nor drunkards, nor revilers, nor swindlers, will inherit the kingdom of God.

**11** Such were some of you; but you were washed, but you were sanctified, but you were justified in the name of the Lord Jesus Christ and in the Spirit of our God.

Fornicators are "unrighteous†and won't inherit the Kingdom of God.

### Could it be any clearer?

Paul even specifically warn us "Do not be deceived†about this. Presumably, he said this because he – through the Holy Spirit – knew some would try to deceive Christians about this.

Now, I know some people try to whip out the definition of the Greek words to change the plain meaning of the text. Sometimes that's appropriate. Sometimes a fuller definition of a Greek word can lend clarity or even change the meaning of a passage.

## That's not the case here though.

This word is *perfectly* translated.

The Greek word translated "fornication†above is "πόĤ½Î¿Ï,†(pornos), and it's a masculine word, meaning referring specifically to males. Here's what Strong's concordance says it means:

Definition: a fornicator

Usage: a fornicator, man who prostitutes himself.

And HELPS Word Studies:

**4205**  $p\tilde{A}^3rnos$  (from  $perna\mathring{A}$ •,  $\hat{a}$ €œto sell offâ $\Theta$ )  $\hat{a}$ €" properly, a male prostitute.  $\underline{4205}$ (  $p\tilde{A}^3rnos$ ) is  $\hat{a}$ €  $ext{@constant}$  male prostitute $\hat{a}$  $\in$  (so Xen., etc.); in the NT, any fornicator  $\hat{a}$  $\Theta$  (Abbott-Smith); i.e. anyone engaging in sexual immorality. See 4202 (porneia).

And Thayer's Greek lexicon: (Bold is original to Thayer's)

πόPνοÏ,, ποPνοÏ , ὕ (for the etym. see πόPνη), a man who prostitutes his body to another's lust for hire, a male prostitute, (Aristophanes), Xenophon, Demosthenes, Aeschines, Lucian); universally, a man who indulges in unlawful sexual intercourse, a fornicator

And Now Strong's Exhaustive Concordance: (which has a fuller definitions that a †œregular― Strong's)

fornicator, whoremonger.

From pernemi (to sell; akin to the base of <u>piprasko</u>); a (male) prostitute (as venal), i.e. (by analogy) a debauchee (libertine) â€" fornicator, whoremonger.

There is universal agreement from the lexicons that pornos refers to either (male) prostitution or fornicatingâ $\in$ ! because theyâ $\in$   $^{TM}$ re the same thing.

### Again â€" Biblically Speaking â€" Prostitution and Fornication are the Same Sin

Again, if sex outside of marriage is morally permissible, then why isn't charging for it morally permissible?

We charge for every service under the sun, and no one has a problem with it… as long as the service is moral. Why would sex be any different? I know we're hitting this point hard, but that's because it's important.

l've heard people make the argument that the Bible doesn't prohibit sex before marriage, only prostitution. However, Biblically they're the same thing. Getting money involved doesn't change the morality of the action.

- Murder is wrong. Murdering for money is just as wrong, but isn't more wrong.
- Vandalism is wrong. Vandalism for money is just as wrong, but isn't more wrong.
- Perjury is wrong. Perjury for money is just as wrong, but isn't more wrong.
- etc.

### Seriously.

If sex outside of marriage <u>isn'</u>twrong, then why couldn't any single Christian man say to any single Christian woman: "*l think you're sexy, so l'll give you \$500 to have sex with me.*"

Anyone?

Nobody would have a problem with the same man offering to pay her to walk his dog, to house-sit, or any other (*moral*) thing. Why is it different with sex outside of marriage**â€!** unless it's wrong. Unless it's very wrong, and that's why we have this instinctual, gut-level revulsion for prostitution.

(It's interesting, in America today we have this kind of double standard in the law. Any (over 18) woman can have sex with any man she likes and there's no legal penalty. But the moment she wants to charge even \$1 for it, then it's prostitution and illegal.)

## Biblically speaking, Prostitution and fornication are the exact same sin.

Both boil down to sex outside of marriage, aka fornication.

Anyway, moving on.

## "Flee Fornication†(sex outside of marriage)

Picking up just a few verses later.

#### 1 Corinthians 6:15-20

- **15** Do you not know that your bodies are members of Christ? Shall I then take away the members of Christ and make them members of a prostitute? May it never be!
- **16** Or do you not know that the one who joins himself to a prostitute is one body *with her?* For He says, "The two shall become one flesh.―
- **17** But the one who joins himself to the Lord is one spirit *with Him.*
- **18** Flee **immorality**. Every *other* sin that a man commits is outside the body, but the **immoral man** sins against his own body.
- **19** Or do you not know that your body is a temple of the Holy Spirit who is in you, whom you have from God, and that you are not your own?
- **20** For you have been bought with a price: therefore glorify God in your body.

In verse 18, the word translated "immorality†in the phrase "flee immorality†is the Greek word "πο⊮νεÎ⁻α†(porneia). Here's the definition according to Strong's Concordance:

Definition: fornication

Usage: fornication, whoredom; met: idolatry.

As we've already covered, fornication is all sex outside of marriage. Therefore, Paul is saying "flee all sex outside of marriage (fornication)â€.

The phrase "immoral man†is the Greek word "ποĤ½ÎµÏeï‰â€ (porneuó). It's the verb form of ποÄεÎ-α (porneia), which we just looked at. Being the verb form of porneia, l'II bet you can guess what it means.

Strong's Concordance:

Definition: to commit fornication

Usage: I fornicate; met: I practice idolatry.

**HELPS Word Studies:** 

**Cognate:** 4203 porneúÅ•â€" commit fornication (sexual immorality); (figuratively) to be unfaithful to Christ, while posing as His true follower. See 4202 (porneia).

#### NAS Exhaustive Concordance:

#### to commit fornication

Thayer's Greek Lexicon:

- 1. to prostitute one's body to the lust of another. In the Scriptures
- 2. **to give oneself to unlawful sexual intercourse; to commit fornication** (Vulg.fornicor ): 1 Corinthians 6:18; 1 Corinthians 10:8; Revelation 2:14, 20; (Mark 10:19 WH (rejected) marginal reading).

Strong's Exhaustive Concordance

#### commit fornication.

From porne; to act the harlot, i.e. (literally) indulge unlawful lust (of either sex), or (figuratively) practise idolatry â€" commit (fornication).

Is that clear enough?

In the New Testament, whenever you see the phrase "sexual imorallity†or even just "imorality†in a sexual context, it's nearly always one of the forms of "ποÏ Î¹½ÎµÎ⁻αâ⊕ (porneia), which means fornication; i.e. "all sex outside of marriage".

But if that doesn't convince you, there's even more.

#### **Better to Marry than Burn**

#### 1 Corinthians 7:1-2

- **1** Now concerning the things about which you wrote, it is good for a man not to **touch** a woman.
- **2** But because of **immoralities**, each man is to have his own wife, and each woman is to have her own husband.

The word translated "touch†in verse 1 is "ἠπÏ"ομαι†(<u>haptomai</u>). It means:

Definition: touch

Usage: prop: I fasten to; I lay hold of, touch, know carnally.

So they are defintely talking about "knowing carnallyâ€, which any church-going Christian will know is polite Christianese for "have sexâ€. If you read further, you'II see that Paul is talking about married couples abstaining from sex. He comes back to unmarried couples several verses later.

In verse 2, the word translated "immoralities†is the Greek word "ποĤ½ÎµÎ⁻α†(porneia), which we just looked at. It means "fornicationâ€, which in turn means "all sex outside of marriageâ€.

Paul is quite clear that "because of fornicationâ€, each man should have his wife and each woman should have a husband. He repeats the same theme several verses later.

#### 1 Corinthians 7:8-9

- 8 But I say to the unmarried and to widows that it is good for them if they remain even as I.
- **9** But if they do not have self-control, let them marry; for it is better to marry than to burn *with* passion.

Paul presents the same options that Jesus did:

- 1. Marry and have sex
- 2. Remain unmarried and be celibate

Biblically, those are your only moral/ethical options. And this is the will of God Himself.

### The will of God = Abstain from Fornication

As Christians, we should want to obey/follow the will of God. Therefore, it behooves us to pay attention when He explicitly tells us what His will is.

#### 1 Thessolonians 4:1-8

- **1** Finally then, brethren, we request and exhort you in the Lord Jesus, that as you received from us *instruction* as to how you ought to walk and please God (just as you actually do walk), that you excel still more.
- 2 For you know what commandments we gave you by the authority of the Lord Jesus.
- **3** For this is the will of God, your sanctification; that is, that you abstain from sexual immorality; (Greek =  $\ddot{l} \in \mathring{l} : \mathring{l} = \mathring{l} : \mathring{l} :$
- 4 that each of you know how to possess his own vessel in sanctification and honor,
- 5 not in lustful passion, like the Gentiles who do not know God;

6 and that no man transgress and defraud his brother in the matter because the Lord is the avenger in all these things, just as we also told you before and solemnly warned you.

- **7** For God has not called us for the purpose of impurity, but in sanctification.
- 8 So, he who rejects this is not rejecting man but the God who gives His Holy Spirit to you.

Three things stand out to me here:

- 1. Verse 3 says it's the will of God Himself that we all abstain from fornication. His will. Not man's will, but God's will. Let me be clear: it's the will of God Himself that you abstain from sex outside of marriage. The all powerful, all knowing, all-wise God who created us and on whom we depend for the very air in our lungs; this is His will.
- 2. Verse 8 makes it clear that if you reject this teaching you aren't rejecting a man. Because the command comes from God Himself, rejecting the command is rejecting God Himself.
- 3. Verse 6 makes it clear that probably for these reasons the Lord is "the avengerâ€in all these things. If it sounds like l'm threatening judgement for fornicating, l'm not; God Himself is. This isn't the only place He does either. (*more on that in a moment*)

## Fornicators will be judged…

#### Hebrews 13:4

Marriage is to be held in honor among all, and the marriage bed is to be undefiled; for fornicators and adulterers God will judge.

And there's no wiggle room in Greek either. The word translated "fornicators†is one of the forms of ποÏPνεÎ⁻α (porneia), which we've already seen means "fornicationâ€, which means "sex outside of marriageâ€. "Fornicators and adulterers†covers the whole range of sexual sins.

With those two statements taken together (*adultery* + *fornication*) the only legitimate sexual practice that God  $won\hat{a} \in \mathbb{T}^{M}t$  judge is sex inside of marriage.

_				^	_	_				
Τ	h	1	+	$\overline{}$	-		IVI	$\sim$	- 11	ŀ
		~		~	↽	-		•	- 11	

Period.

Full stop.

There's no "what ifs†or "how about in the case of†statements elsewhere in the Bible. God has been 100% consistent throughout the Bible on this. Sex belongs inside of marriage. No one should dishonor marital sex, in fact, it should be "held in honor by allâ€. Paul says in 1 Corinthians 7:3-7 that married couples have to agree to *stop* having sex.

Sex is expected inside of marriage.

Sex is forbidden outside of Marriage.

Biblically, those are your options and judgement awaits those who pick "option #3â€.

## â€lSo repent, that your sins may be forgiven

God' judgements are just, and thankfully His mercy reigns when we repent and turn from our wicked ways. Let's return to a verse we've previously covered, but focus on a different aspect of it:

#### 1 Corinthians 6:9-11

- **9** Or do you not know that the unrighteous will not inherit the kingdom of God? Do not be deceived; neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor homosexuals,
- **10** nor thieves, nor *the* covetous, nor drunkards, nor revilers, nor swindlers, will inherit the kingdom of God.
- 11 <u>Such were some of you; but you were washed</u>, but you were sanctified, but <u>you were</u> justified in the name of the Lord Jesus Christ and in the Spirit of our God.

If you have been fornicating, take courage because there is hope:

#### 1 John 1:7-10

7 but if we walk in the Light as He Himself is in the Light, we have fellowship with one another, and the blood of Jesus His Son cleanses us from all sin.

- 8 If we say that we have no sin, we are deceiving ourselves and the truth is not in us.
- **9** If we confess our sins, He is faithful and righteous to forgive us our sins and to cleanse us from all unrighteousness.
- 10 If we say that we have not sinned, we make Him a liar and His word is not in us.

It's that simple.

Confess to God that you have indeed sinned. Ask for His forgiveness and you will have it. Jesus' blood took care of this on the cross, and through it we can have peace with God. But there is more to it, you need to actually stop doing it.

Jesus said to the (*repentant*) woman caught in adultery:

#### John 8:10-11

**10** Jesus stood up and said to her, "Woman, where are they? Has no one condemned you?―

11 She said, "No one, Lord.†And Jesus said, "Neither do I condemn you; go, and from now on sin no more.

You need to actually stop fornicating.

I realize this may be hard, especially if you're living with someone you're not married to. (which is very popular these days) Because of temptation, it's probably not a good idea to continue living with your "significant other†unless you're married. (in which case you shouldn't live apart, not for any significant length of time anyway.)

## Some Say Premarital Sex = Wedding? What?

Some people believe that if two unmarried people have sex, that automatically makes them married. I hope you can see from all the verses that we've just covered that this isn't the case.

Let's pretend – for a moment – that two unmarried people having sex<u>does</u> make them married. **If that were true, that would mean fornication = marriage.** Given the warnings God gives about fornication, about how wicked it is and how He will judge those who practice it, that seems**â€!** Unlikely. (to say the least.)

#### Proverbs 18:22

He who finds a wife finds a good thing and obtains favor from the LORD.

Fornication does the opposite because itâ€<sup>™</sup>s not good, and incurs judgement instead of favor. Therefore, I donâ€<sup>™</sup>t see how fornication can create a marriage. Paul says to "flee fornicationâ€, yet "marriage is to be held in honor among allâ€; you donâ€<sup>™</sup>t flee something you hold in honor.

But let's deal with the verse they use for this:

### **Exodus 22:16-17** (*ESV*)

**16** "lf a man seduces a virgin who is not betrothed and lies with her, he shall give the bride-price for her and make her his wife.

**17** If her father utterly refuses to give her to him, he shall pay money equal to the bride-price for virgins.

Please notice: the <u>father</u> had the final say in the Mosaic Law. No marriage could be contracted between the man and the (ex)virgin unless her father approved. Therefore, since the fatherâ $\in$ <sup>TM</sup>s consent was required to make a marriage, then the act of fornicating doesnâ $\in$ <sup>TM</sup>t make a marriage.

There's also an issue of sequence. In this verse, it's clear the wedding is <u>after</u> the fornication. How can the fornicating be the wedding when the marriage only happens afterward?

It makes no logical sense.

## "But I love him/her, so how can it be wrong?"

Consider what you're saying: That's like saying that feelings are a good justification for any wicked action. If we tried that with any other sins, everyone would laugh. For example:

- I really "loved†that woman's necklace, so I stole it.
- I really "loved†not getting in trouble, so I lied in court under oath.
- I really "loved†the idea of never seeing them again, so I killed them

I mean, really?

Feelings don't justify wicked actions. Ever. Just because you really want to do something doesn't mean it's morally acceptable. Further,the people who say this are looking at it backwards.

To put it bluntly: if you're fornicating with someone, that's proof positive that you **don't**love them.

I have an article about how (in Greek) the Great Commandment isn't about "loveâ€. But even if it was, that requires a proper definition of love as a verb.

You can define our English word "love†at least two ways depending on how it's used. As a noun (*person, place, thing, or feeling/emotion*), you can define it as the "warm fuzzy†feeling typically – and more correctly – called infatuation.

As a verb (an action), love must be defined differently. (I have an article about how In Greek, the Great Commandment isn†™t about †œloveâ€) If you say "l did the loving thingâ€, you don't mean "l did the emotional thingâ€. You mean "l did what was best for the other person". I would define the English word "love†â€" as a verb – this way:

**Love:** Doing what best for someone else regardless of what it costs me.

Is committing a wicked sin with someone else what  $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{T}^M$ s best for them? Is it best to help them commit a sin for which the Bible clearly says God will judge them (and you too). Fornication often leads to cohabitation (living together and fornicating while not married), which  $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{T}^M$  if it came with a warning label  $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{T}^M$  no would in their right mind would ever do.

### Cohabitation is horrible for everyone involved

It's very much "in vogue†these days for couples to live together (fornicating while they do) and not get married. However, that's a horrible idea. There's a great data-driven article from life Site News which sums this up, entitled: Cohabitation is bad for men, worse for women, and horrible for children.

Here are some of the highlights (lowlights?)

- One-sixth of cohabiting couples stay together for only three years; one in ten survives five or more years
- Greater risk of divorce for those who cohabitate before marriage: (39 percent vs. 21 percent)
- The rate of STD among cohabiting couples is six times higher than among married women
- Greater risk of substance abuse and psychiatric problems
- Higher poverty rates: Cohabitors who never marry have 78 percent less wealth than the continuously married
- "Cohabitation breeds abuse, violence, and murder: Abuse of children: Rates of serious abuse are lowest in intact families; six times higher in stepfamilies; 14 times higher in always-single-mother families; 20 times higher in cohabiting biological-parent families; and 33 times higher when the mother is cohabiting with a boyfriend who is not the biological father"

And the effect on children bears more looking at.

Most Americans believe cohabitating couples raise children just as well as married couplesâ€l

The reality: Children of cohabitating parents face higher risks of negative outcomes. The Pew survey's question focused on the perceived parenting skills of the couple rather than the actual outcomes for the children. The actual outcomes are notably worse for the kids.

While Americans are optimistic about the ability of cohabiting couples to raise children, a study published by the American College of Pediatricians in 2014 reported that <a href="mailto:children">children</a>
whose parents cohabit face a higher risk of: "premature birth, school failure, lower education, more poverty during childhood and lower incomes as adults, <a href="mailto:more incarceration">more incarceration</a>
and behavior problems, single parenthood, <a href="mailto:medical neglect">medical neglect</a> and chronic health problems both medical and psychiatric, <a href="mailto:more substance">more substance</a>, <a href="mailto:alcohol and tobacco abuse</a>, <a href="mailto:and child">and child</a>
abuse, â and that â €œa child conceived by a cohabiting woman is at 10 times higher risk of abortion compared to one conceived in marriage.â €

### Source.

And thatâ€<sup>™</sup>s just a sampling – a mere fraction – of the data I have on the topic. From a data-driven/scientific perspective, itâ€<sup>™</sup>s *far* worse for everyone – man, woman, and child – than lâ€<sup>™</sup>ve laid out in the short summary here. (*Seriously, I could double the length of this article with all the data I have.*)

## God is NOT "Anti-Fun†or "Anti-Sexâ€

His first command to mankind was "have lots of kidsâ€, which boils down to "have lots of sex†• (*inside marriage*). He commanded the Israelites to throw a bunch of huge feasts every year. In fact, "ever good and perfect gift comes from the Father above.―

God wants you to have sex; lots of sex. He just wants you to do it the moral way (*inside marriage*). Doing it His way produces far better outcomes in the long run. Not only do you avoid sin, but you actually have a better life. That's what obedience to God always brings.

#### In fact: Married Christians have the best sex!

One of the most comprehensive and methodically sound sex studies ever done found that consistent church attendees have a <u>significantly higher frequency and intensity of orgasms</u> than the general population of the U.S. Even more exciting, women who attend church *more than once a week* experience even bigger, (cough), â€lyou know what I mean.

But this is hardly the only study to find this. According to another University of Chicago study, researchers found that church goers not only experience more *personal satisfaction* but also <u>rate their sex partners as "extremely enjoyableâ€</u> significantly more often than other demographics.

…

"We also found that married couples reported significantly higher levels of relationship quality than cohabiting couples,†the report says.

Source.

God definitely wants you to have sex. He just wants you to do it inside of marriage. The first commandment to mankind was to have lots of kids, which requires lots of sex. In 1 Corinthians 7, God said married couples shouldn't stop having sex, except for a short time to fast/pray, after which they should "come together againâ€.

God even included a book (*Song of Solomon*) in the Bible which <u>never even mentions God</u>. Yes, one of the books of the Bible – the one that goes on at length describing a naked woman – doesnâ€<sup>™</sup>t even mention "God†or "the Lordâ€. Song of Solomon is just shy of an erotic novel… and itâ€<sup>™</sup>s in the Bible.

### God isn't shy about sex.

(Note: the Song of Solomon even mentions oral sex †" on both men and women †" in euphemistic/pictorial terms. I bet you never heard that in a Sunday morning sermon.)

If you want to have sex, good! God wants you to have sex too, as long as it's inside marriage.

### Conclusion

Fornication (*sex outside of marriage*) is a wicked, shameful, and senseless <u>sin</u>. Biblically, your options are getting married and having lots of (*amazing*) sex, or remaining single and having none. It's God's will that you abstain from fornication, and He will judge those who practice such things. Fortunately, you can also repent and have that sin cleansed through Jesus work on the cross.

Fortunately, God isn't a kill-joy.

He's quite the opposite in fact, and wants us to have sex – preferably lots of sex – inside of marriage. Married Christians have the best sex and better relationships. Conversely, â€æshacking up†(*cohabitation*) is horrible for everyone involved, especially the children. Please, don't make your children suffer for it. Even if you don't have children, there are still consequences.

Sin always has consequences.

Always.

EDIT: You may also be interested in a follow-up article I did entitled: <u>Biblically, How Far Can Christians</u>
<u>Go Physically/Sexually Before Marriage?</u> There is a clear "line in the sand†drawn by God in the Bible, and that article explores it.